

Reviewer's Information:

Preface: several corrections by the Reviewer 1 are minor (for example, misprints) and introduced into text at the Galley proof. But some of notes by Reviewer 1 could not be taken into account so easily; they are cypasted below.

End of section 2: Where does love enter in these four classification?

Authors reply: Love is custom. The flowers of love are difficult to grow-up at the background of hate, slavery, sadism and tyranny. Love is difficult for the scientific analysis. So, it is not mentioned.

About section 6: I do not think Buddhists consider Buddha as God.

Authors reply: It does not matter. Big statues of Buddha are the proof.

Lenin, Stalin, Hitler, Molotov and Ribbentrop also did not consider themselves as fascists. However, the numbers of victims the national and international socialisms greatly exceed the number of victims of regimen by Benito Mussolini, who had declared himself as fascist.

More about section 6: However omnipotent as she is, God cannot cancel something that already happened. So, Sovietism is not a religion.

Authors reply: The 'Big Brother' and his accomplices in Utopia "1984" do not declare themselves as religious adepts, but the alteration of the past is namely that they are doing constantly. The "ministry of Truth" is dedicated to canceling of things that already happened. Similar phenomena take place in the USSR, in Russia, North Korea and China. (By the way, several authors, who had published the wrong results mentioned, have affiliation at institutes of China; Marxism is official religion there.)

About end of section 7: The sentence "Before quantum ..." would need justification or a references, over-vice, im might be considered as pseudo-science.

Authors reply: Thanks for the note! The statement about entry of mathematics to other sciences id rewritten and supplied with references. 100 and 101.

About section 12: Here, we talk about global warming, so, any "local" event cannot refute the concept. If we look at the global indicators: level of sea water, amount of CO2 in the atmosphere averaged over the planet, then the evidence of global warming becomes very strong.

Authors reply: I saw many publications about global warming. None of them refers to a site, where the data about sea level in various places (that could help to confirm or to negate the concept) are available in a free access.

More about section 12: It is easy to show that global warming is a fact: just look at the time series of the Earth's temperature over the last 40 years. It is increasing year after year. If the Earth's global temperature next year, and in two years, etc., then this will be a refutation. Easy.

Authors reply: 40 years, it is too short interval for the climatic activities. A. Pushkin gives an example, when, in the Peterburg region, the rains continued until the end of year, and only in January, the precipitation changed from water to snow. That happened 2 hundreds year ago. There are many such historic evidences. But I see no collections of these evidences in the literature about the global warming. And, again, the adepts of the Global Warming do not provide links to the sites, where the temperature data are available. They do not indicate, which "optimistic" models (that do not imply a global catastrophe) were used to fit the data; no estimate of the statistical significance of rejection of these models is found.

More about section 12: It seems you are going wayward here.

Authors reply: Yes. As usually.

About section 13: This is debatable. I would say, science is more important than technology.

Authors reply: Society with science without technology is described at "Gulliver's Travels" by Jonathan Swift, Part 3, Chapter 2.